KAIBAB NATIONAL FOREST

(CHALENDER-WILLIAMS RANGER DISTRICTS)

KEY MAP

LEGEND

- National Forest Boundary
- Adjacent Forest Boundary
- County Boundary
- Wilderness and Primitive Area Boundary
- Reservation or Land Grant Boundary
- Interstate Highway
- U.S. Highway
- State Highway
- Forest Route
- Forest Trail
- Paved Road
- All Weather Road
- Dirt Road
- Primitive Road
- Trail
- Railroad
- Windmill
- Heliport
- Mine, Quarry or Gravel Pit
- Recreation Site
- Recreation Site other than Forest Service
- Point of Interest
- Ski Area
- Power Transmission Line
- Live Stream
- Intermittent Stream
- Stock Tank
- Located or Landmark Object
- Forest Supervisors Headquarters, Flagstaff, Arizona
- Ranger Station
- Forest Service Station
- Permanent Lookout Station
- Permanent Lookout and Horizontal Control Station
- Horizontal Control Station
- U.S. Mineral or Location Monument
- Boundary Monument
- Bench Mark
- House, Cabin or other Building
- School
- Church
- Tank

Scale ½" = 1 mile



Lands not included in the Kaibab National Forest planning process

W1 Management Areas
Prescriptions apply to National Forest System lands only

K12/all. A-E

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
FOREST SERVICE
SOUTHWESTERN REGION

Kaibab National Forest

ARIZONA

LAND AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLANNING

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

03-07-86-01

JULY 1986

ALTERNATIVES A thru E

A 13.92/2:

TABLE 1. Allocation of Management Emphasis to Management Areas by Planning Alternative.

Management Area	Planning Alternative A	B	C	D	E
W1	C	N	B	N	L
W2	C	E	B	G	E
W3	C	H	V	G	E
W4	C	Q	S	Q	Q
W5	C	N	X	T	B
W6	C	N	X	T	L
W7	C	F	X	D	F
T8	C	N	B	N	X
TC	C	N	B	N	B
T0	C	E	X	D	B
TE	C	B	M	D	E
N1	C	N	B	W	L
N2	C	N	B	N	L
N3	C	H	W	D	B
N4	C	B	M	D	B
N5	C	H	M	G	B
N6	C	H	M	D	B
N7	C	B	P	G	B
N8	C	B	M	D	H
N12	C	Q	S	Q	Q
N13	C	Q	S	Q	Q

TABLE 2. DESCRIPTION OF EACH RESOURCE MANAGEMENT EMPHASIS

Identifier	Description
B	High soil and water emphasis and moderate commodity emphasis
D	Moderate commodity emphasis and moderate non-commodity emphasis
E	High non-commodity emphasis and low-moderate commodity emphasis
F	Low-moderate commodity emphasis and low non-commodity emphasis
G	High commodity emphasis and low to low-moderate non-commodity emphasis
H	High commodity and high non-commodity emphasis
J	High wildlife emphasis and low-moderate commodity and non-commodity emphases
K	Moderate commodity and non-commodity emphases and no timber harvest
L	Low commodity emphasis and low non-commodity emphasis
M	Moderate commodity and moderate non-commodity and no livestock grazing
N	High commodity emphasis and moderate non-commodity emphasis and no timber harvest

Identifier	Description
P	Moderate-high commodity emphasis and moderate non-commodity emphasis
Q	Wilderness area management: standard level 4
R	High non-commodity emphasis and no timber harvest and no livestock grazing
S	Wilderness area management: standard level 5
T	Moderate-high commodity emphasis and moderate non-commodity emphasis and no timber harvest
U	Moderate commodity emphasis and moderate non-commodity emphasis and low-moderate fuelwood emphasis
V	Moderate-high wildlife and moderate commodity and non-commodity emphasis and no timber harvest
W	Moderate non-commodity emphasis and no timber harvest and no livestock grazing
X	High non-commodity emphasis and low range management emphasis and no timber harvesting
C	Current-no change management

TABLE 3.
MANAGEMENT INTENSITIES FOR EACH RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICE

○ No Management ○ Low Intensity ◔ Low-Moderate Intensity ◑ Moderate Intensity ◕ Moderately-High Intensity ● High Intensity

Illustrated are the relative differences in the intensities of each resource management practice across the spectrum of resource management emphases. This display allows the reader to grasp the relative differences in a particular resource management practice by noting the "size of the pie" for each emphasis across the horizontal axis of the matrix. On the other hand, the reader is able to judge the relative differences in resource management emphases by noting the differences in the "size of the pie" for each resource management practice along the vertical axis under a particular emphasis.

MANAGEMENT PRACTICE	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT EMPHASIS B	D	E	F	G	H	J	K	L	M	P	R	U	V	N	T	W	X	C
Recreation Management	◑	◑	◕	○	◔	◕	◔	◑	○	◔	◕	◕	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	●	◑
Fish/Wildlife Improvements	○	◑	◕	◔	◔	◕	◕	◑	○	◔	◕	◕	◑	◔	○	○	◑	○	○
Range Management	◑	◑	◔	◔	◕	◕	◔	◑	◔	○	◕	◔	◑	◑	◕	◕	○	◔	◑
Timber Management	◑	◑	◔	◔	◕	◕	◕	○	○	◔	◕	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	◕
Fuelwood Management	○	◑	○	◔	◕	○	○	○	○	○	◕	○	◑	◑	◕	○	○	○	◑
Soil & Water Improvements	◕	○	◕	○	○	○	○	◑	○	○	○	○	◑	◕	◕	◕	○	◕	◑
Minerals Management	◑	◑	○	◔	◕	◕	○	◑	◔	◔	●	○	◑	◑	◕	◑	◑	○	◑
Landownership Management	◑	○	○	○	◕	◕	○	○	○	◔	◕	◕	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑
Facilities Management	◑	○	○	○	◕	◕	○	○	○	◔	◕	◕	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑
Fire Management	○	○	◕	○	◕	◕	○	○	○	◔	◕	◕	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑

(NORTH KAIBAB RANGER DISTRICT)

KAIBAB NATIONAL FOREST

LEGEND

National Forest Boundary
Adjacent Forest Boundary
County Boundary
Wilderness and Primitive Area Boundary
Reservation or Land Grant Boundary
Interstate Highway
U.S. Highway
State Highway
Forest Route
Forest Trail
Paved Road
All Weather Road
Dirt Road
Primitive Road
Trail
Railroad
Windmill
Heliport
Mine, Quarry or Gravel Pit
Recreation Site
Recreation Site other than Forest Service
Point of Interest
Ski Area
Power Transmission Line
Live Stream
Intermittent Stream
Stock Tank
Located or Landmark Object
Forest Supervisors Headquarters, Williams, Arizona
Ranger Station
Forest Service Station
Permanent Lookout Station
Permanent Lookout and Horizontal Control Station
Horizontal Control Station
U.S. Mineral or Location Monument
Boundary Monument
Bench Mark
House, Cabin or other Building
School
Church
Tank

Scale ½" = 1 mile

Lands not subject to the Kaibab National Forest planning process.

(N1) Management Areas
Prescriptions apply to National Forest System lands only.

KEY MAP

(TUSAYAN RANGER DISTRICT)

TABLE 1. Allocation of Management Emphasis to Management Areas by Planning Alternative.

Management Area	Planning Alternative A	B	C	D	E
N1	C	N	B	N	A
N2	C	N	L	D	B
N3	C	E	K	D	E
N4	C	B	M	D	E
N5	C	N	B	N	L
N6	C	N	K	D	E
N7	C	N	M	D	B
N8	C	N	M	D	B
N12	C	B	P	G	B
N13	C	B	M	D	H
TA	C	Q	S	Q	Q
TB	C	Q	S	Q	Q

TABLE 2. Description of each Resource Management Emphasis

Identifier	Description
B	High soil and water emphasis and moderate commodity emphasis
D	Moderate commodity emphasis and moderate nonmoderate emphasis
E	High nonmoderate emphasis and low-moderate commodity emphasis
F	Low-moderate commodity emphasis and low nonmoderate emphasis
G	High commodity emphasis and low to low-moderate nonmoderate emphasis
H	High commodity and high nonmoderate emphasis
J	High wildlife emphasis and low-moderate commodity and nonmoderate emphases
K	Moderate commodity and nonmoderate emphases and no timber harvest
L	Low commodity emphasis and low nonmoderate emphasis
M	Moderate commodity and moderate nonmoderate and no livestock grazing
N	High commodity emphasis and moderate nonmoderate emphasis and no timber harvest
P	Moderate-high commodity emphasis and moderate nonmoderate emphasis
Q	Wilderness area management, standard level 4
R	High nonmoderate emphasis and no timber harvest and no livestock grazing
S	Wilderness area management, standard level 5
T	Moderate-high commodity emphasis and moderate nonmoderate emphasis and no timber harvest
U	Moderate commodity emphasis and moderate nonmoderate emphasis and low-moderate fuel wood emphasis
V	Moderate-high wildlife and moderate commodity and nonmoderate emphasis and no timber harvest
W	Moderate nonmoderate emphasis and no timber harvest and no livestock grazing
X	High nonmoderate emphasis and low range management emphasis and no timber harvesting
C	Current no change management

ALTERNATIVES A thru F

TABLE 3. MANAGEMENT INTENSITIES FOR EACH RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICE

Illustrated are the relative differences in the intensities of each resource management practice across the spectrum of resource management emphases. This display allows the reader to grasp the relative differences in a particular resource management practice by noting the "color" of the pies for each emphasis across the horizontal axis of the matrix. On the other hand, the reader is able to judge the relative differences in resource management emphases by noting the difference in the "size" of the pies for each resource management practice along the vertical axis within a particular emphasis.

KEY
No Management
Low Intensity
Low - Moderate Intensity
Moderate Intensity
Moderately - High Intensity
High Intensity

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT EMPHASIS

MANAGEMENT PRACTICE | B D E F G H J K L M P R U V N T W X C

Recreation Management
Fish & Wildlife Improvement
Range Management
Timber Management
Forest Land Management
Soil & Water Improvement
Minerals Management
Landscape Management
Facilities Management
Fire Management